## Apportionment Status Report CMAQ and RSTP (as of February 29, 2008)

## AB 1012 Balances entering the 3rd Year (from FFY 2006)\* Report Summary

\*Previously referred to as Cycle 9

| *Previously referred to as Cycle 9  | 9           |               |             |                |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|
|                                     |             | CMAQ Amount   |             | RSTP Amount    |
|                                     | CMAQ        | Subject to    | RSTP        | Subject to     |
|                                     | Unobligated | AB 1012       | Unobligated | AB 1012        |
|                                     | 2/29/2008   | Reprogramming | 2/29/2008   | Reprogramming  |
|                                     | Delivery    |               | Delivery    |                |
| Region                              | Balance 1   | 11/01/2008 2  | Balance 1   | 11/01/2008 2   |
| Butte                               | 3,348,013   | _             | _           | _              |
| Fresno                              | 19,737,924  | _             | 23,576,425  | 4,059,591      |
| Kern                                | 22,885,269  | 7,159,124     | 18,768,024  | 2,616,146      |
| Kings                               | 2,880,834   | · · ·         | 0           | , , , <u>-</u> |
| Los Angeles                         | 149,219,237 | -             | 159,348,531 | -              |
| Madera                              | 1,730,034   | -             |             | -              |
| Merced                              | 4,206,919   | -             | 0           | -              |
| Monterey                            | 905,830     | -             | 1,785,347   | -              |
| Orange                              | 56,228,548  | -             | 36,309,765  | -              |
| Riverside                           | 52,843,186  | 517,933       | 60,682,867  | 26,002,328     |
| Sacramento (SACOG) <sup>3,4,5</sup> | 13,161,325  | -             | 13,207,773  | -              |
| San Benito <sup>3</sup>             | 27,491      | -             | -           | -              |
| San Bernardino                      | 72,712,245  | 19,465,456    | 23,466,211  | -              |
| San Diego                           | 15,689,254  | -             | 35,516,027  | -              |
| S.F. Bay Area (MTC)                 | 115,049,365 | -             | 65,003,290  | -              |
| San Joaquin                         | 13,943,634  | -             | 7,879,712   | -              |
| San Luis Obispo                     | -           | -             | 1,422,984   | -              |
| Santa Barbara <sup>4</sup>          | 670,747     | -             | -           | -              |
| Santa Cruz                          | 1,126,075   | -             | (0)         | -              |
| Stanislaus                          | 15,339,129  | 2,636,376     | 11,948,149  | 1,036,192      |
| Tahoe                               | 2,316,355   | 1,083,209     | -           | -              |
| Tulare                              | 6,444,792   | -             | -           | -              |
| Ventura                             | 12,915,938  | <u>-</u>      | 15,753,489  | -              |
| Rural Counties & SCAG <sup>5</sup>  | \$5,247,100 | 488,392       | \$4,244,064 | 770,662        |
| TOTAL                               | 588,629,243 | 31,350,489    | 478,912,660 | \$34,484,920   |

## Balances now include:

- \* Difference between Advanced/Estimated Apportionments vs. Actual Apportionment for CMAQ & RSTP and CMAQ for FFY 2006-07
- \* Difference between Advanced/Estimated Apportionments vs. Actual Apportionment for RSTP for FFY 2007-08
- \* RSTP Exchange for FFY 07/08 effective March 27, 2008
- \* NEPA Delegation contribution for FFY 07/08.

## Footnotes:

- <sup>1</sup> Indicates all apportionments not yet obligated.
- $^{\rm 2}$  Totals reflect balance entering into the third year.
- $^{3,4,5}$  Includes adjustments made through MOU agreements between regions (San Benito, Santa Barbara & Imperial) and SACOG.

Balances are adjusted for projects using the State's Advance Construction process.

Assumes the use of all previous balances.

The regional balances reflect activities that have been recorded in the Department's Local Assistance accounting system. There may be a delay between the FHWA authorization and the recording of the transaction in the Department's Local Assistance accounting system.